# PATENT APPLICATION OF Ye-Yi Wang and Alejandro Acero ENTITLED

GRAMMAR AUTHORING SYSTEM

10

15

20

# GRAMMAR AUTHORING SYSTEM

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention generally relates to context free grammars. More specifically, the present invention relates to an authoring system for use in authoring such grammars.

robust understanding Semantic-based technology has been widely used in human/machine In fact, it has been shown conversational systems. to be one of the most competitive technologies after many years of work in the area of spoken language However, this technology suffers from understanding. disadvantages. One of the number of disadvantages is the requirement for expertise manual development of a domain-specific grammar. It is believed that this is a major obstacle faced by many developers in building a conversational system.

Researchers have attempted to remedy this problem. For instance, some researchers have been working in an attempt to develop data-driven automatic grammar inference for many years. However, the success of this type of system has been very limited due to the fundamental problem of sparse data for the complex target grammar.

A semantic context free grammar (CFG), like a syntactic CFG, defines the legal combination of individual words into constituents, and the combination of constituents into sentences. In addition, a semantic CFG must define the concepts and

relationships among those concepts in a specific domain. It is this additional dimension of variation that makes it necessary to develop a grammar for every new domain.

It is also common for semantic information 5 in such applications to be defined in a semantic Semantic schema is often used for many schema. different purposes. For example, semantic schema serves as the specification for a language-enabled In other words, once a semantic schema 10 application. is defined, grammar and application logic development can proceed simultaneously according to the semantic Semantic schema also plays a critical role schema. in dialog management. Further, the semantic schema is language independent, in the sense that it does 15 specify the linguistic expressions express a concept. Therefore, it is used not only language-enabling applications, but also for for integrating inputs from multi-modalities, 20 mouse click events. Thus, in many such applications, the semantic schema must be authored and already exists prior to the development of the CFG.

# SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A grammar authoring system uses multiple sources of information to aid grammar authoring. This produces a semantic grammar derived semiautomatically with a relatively small amount of data.

In one embodiment, the semantic schema is 30 first written and a template context free grammar

(CFG) is automatically derived from the schema such that inherits the semantic constraints of the semantic schema. The template CFG also exhibits structural generalizations.

In another embodiment, the present invention utilizes semantic annotation to focus parser learning to points of interest. The present invention can further use syntactic constrains to reduce the learning space during grammar development.

10 In addition, the present invention can make use of pre-existing library grammars in a highly efficient way, such as using drag and drop techniques, to develop the grammar.

# 15 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a personal computer which forms but one embodiment of an environment for the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a data flow diagram illustrating
the authoring of a context free grammar in accordance
with one embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2A illustrates a context free grammar parse tree.

FIG. 2B shows in detail the data flow for 25 expression annotator block 212 in FIG. 2.

FIGS. 3-11 are screen shots illustrating operation of the authoring system in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention.

10

25

30

# DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE EMBODIMENTS

present invention is authoring an system used to assist in the authoring of a context free semantic grammar. The system can be implemented on a computing device and as a method. FIG. 1 is but illustrative embodiment of an exemplary one environment in which the present invention may be used. Of course, other environments can be used as well.

FIG. 1 illustrates an example of a suitable 100 system environment on which the computing The computing system invention may be implemented. environment 100 is only one example of a suitable computing environment and is not intended to suggest 15 any limitation as to the scope of use Neither should the functionality of the invention. computing environment 100 be interpreted as having any dependency or requirement relating to any one or in of components illustrated the combination 20 exemplary operating environment 100.

The invention is operational with numerous other general purpose or special purpose computing system environments or configurations. Examples of well known computing systems, environments, and/or configurations that may be suitable for use with the invention include, but are not limited to, personal computers, server computers, hand-held or devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based top boxes, programmable consumer systems, set electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe

computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the above systems or devices, and the like.

The invention may be described in general context of computer-executable instructions, 5 program modules, being executed such as Generally, program modules include computer. programs, objects, components, data routines, structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement particular abstract data types. 10 The practiced in also be distributed invention may computing environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are linked through a communications network. In a distributed computing environment, program modules may be located in both 15 local and remote computer storage media including memory storage devices.

With reference to FIG. 1, an exemplary system for implementing the invention includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a 20 Components of computer computer 110. 110 include, but are not limited to, a processing unit 120, a system memory 130, and a system bus 121 that couples various system components including system memory to the processing unit 120. The system 25 bus 121 may be any of several types of bus structures including a memory bus or memory controller, peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. By way of example, and not limitation, such architectures include Industry 30

10

15

20

25

30

Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA) local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus also known as Mezzanine bus.

Computer 110 typically includes a variety of computer readable media. Computer readable media can be any available media that can be accessed by includes both volatile and computer 110 and nonvolatile media, removable and non-removable media. By way of example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise computer storage media and communication media. Computer storage media includes both volatile and nonvolatile, removable and non-removable media implemented in any method or information technology for storage of such computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to, RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical disk storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can 110. Communication media accessed by computer typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism and includes any information

15

20

delivery media. The term "modulated data signal" signal has that one or more of characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the signal. By way of example, and not limitation. communication media includes wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared and other wireless media. Combinations of any of the above should also be included within the scope of computer readable media.

The system memory 130 includes computer storage media the form of volatile in and/or nonvolatile memory such as read only memory (ROM) 131 and random access memory (RAM) 132. Α basic input/output system 133 (BIOS), containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within computer 110, such as during startis typically stored up, in ROM 131. RAM 132 typically contains data and/or program modules that are immediately accessible to and/or presently being operated on by processing unit 120. By way o example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data 137.

The computer 110 may also include other removable/non-removable volatile/nonvolatile computer storage media. By way of example only, FIG. 1 illustrates a hard disk drive 141 that reads from or writes to non-removable, nonvolatile magnetic media, a magnetic disk drive 151 that reads from or writes

15

20

25

30

to a removable, nonvolatile magnetic disk 152, and an optical disk drive 155 that reads from or writes to a removable, nonvolatile optical disk 156 such as a CD ROM or other optical media. Other removable/nonremovable, volatile/nonvolatile computer media that can be used in the exemplary operating environment include, but are not limited to, magnetic tape cassettes, flash memory cards, digital versatile disks, digital video tape, solid state RAM, solid state ROM, and the like. The hard disk drive 141 is typically connected to the system bus 121 through a non-removable memory interface such as interface 140, and magnetic disk drive 151 and optical disk drive 155 are typically connected to the system bus 121 by a removable memory interface, such as interface 150.

The drives and their associated computer storage media discussed above and illustrated in FIG. 1, provide storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules and other data for the computer 110. In FIG. 1, for example, hard disk drive 141 is illustrated as storing operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 146, data 147. Note that and program these components can either be the same as or different from operating system 134, application programs 135, other program modules 136, and program data Operating system 144, application programs 145, other program modules 146, and program data 147 are given different numbers here to illustrate that, minimum, they are different copies.

15

A user may enter commands and information into the computer 110 through input devices such as a keyboard 162, a microphone 163, and a pointing device 161, such as a mouse, trackball or touch pad. input devices (not shown) may include a joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to user the processing unit 120 through а input interface 160 that is coupled to the system bus, but connected by other interface and may be bus structures, such as a parallel port, game port or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor 191 or other type of display device is also connected to the system bus 121 via an interface, such as a video interface 190. In addition to the monitor, computers may also include other peripheral output devices such speakers 197 and printer 196, which may be as connected through an output peripheral interface 195.

The computer 110 may operate in a networked 20 environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 180. remote computer 180 may be a personal computer, a hand-held device, a server, a router, a network PC, a or other common network node, peer device 25 typically includes many or all of the elements described above relative to the computer 110. logical connections depicted in FIG. 1 include a local area network (LAN) 171 and a wide area network (WAN) 173, but may also include other networks. 30 networking environments are commonplace in offices,

15

20

enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

When used in a LAN networking environment, the computer 110 is connected to the LAN 171 through a network interface or adapter 170. When used in a WAN networking environment, the computer 110 typically includes a modem 172 or other means for establishing communications over the WAN 173, such as The modem 172, which may be internal the Internet. or external, may be connected to the system bus 121 160, via the user input interface or other appropriate mechanism. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to the computer 110, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. By way of example, and not limitation, FIG. 1 illustrates remote application programs 185 as residing on remote computer 180. will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

FIG. 2 is a data flow diagram illustrating generation of context free a grammar accordance with one embodiment of the present 25 invention. Different components shown in FIG. 2 can illustratively implemented in be the components shown in FIG. 1. FIG. 2 is provided as an overview and the individual data flow steps will be discussed in greater detail with respect to FIGS. 3-10. FIG. 2 shows system 198 which includes a front 30

end, or user interface (UI) 224, and a back end 199. Back end 199 includes a template grammar generation component 204, parser 208, learner 218 and grammar manager 230.

5 In operation, the semantic schema for the system is first written. This is indicated by block 200. The schematic schema is a relatively high level, and relatively simple, semantic description of the relationships among different concepts in the domain specific application. example, the 10 For semantic schema for even a relatively complex application can be written in several hours. semantic schema is illustrated by block 202 in FIG. 2.

Semantic schema is not a grammar. It is not sufficient to use a schema to analyze a natural language input. To correctly interpret the meaning of a natural language expression, a parser is used to map the expression against the schema according to a context free grammar (CFG). For instance, the schema may contain the following definition of a semantic class:

The semantic class simply defines a 30 semantic concept "ApptByAttributes", which is a

15

20

25

specific way to refer to an "Appointment", the type of "ApptByAttributes". To refer to an existing appointment with this concept, a speaker has to specify one or more of the slots of the concept to differentiate it from other existing appointments. For example, "the meeting from 3 to 5 with Alex" is an expression for the concept. To understand the phrase correctly, we need to identify its semantic structure, which maps the words in the phrase to the constituents in the semantic class:

</entity>

To identify the correct interpretation above, we need a grammar that lets the parser know that "3" and "5" are "Time"s, "Alex" is a "Person", and "3" is the "StartTime", "5" is the "EndTime" in the context. Further, the grammar distinguishes this expression from other expressions that also contain time expressions, such as "the flights between 9 am and 3 pm" and only accept the former expression as an "ApptByAttributes". An example grammar may look like:

<ApptAttribute> → from <Time>:StartTime
<ApptAttribute> → to <Time>:EndTime
<ApptAttribute> → with <Person>:Attendee
<Person> → Alex | john | Dave | ......

5 <Time> → 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | .....

Given the aforementioned grammar, a robust parser can map the input expression into a CFG parse tree 203 as in FIG. 2A. From this CFG parse tree the aforementioned semantic structure can be constructed. The semantic structure is an abstraction of the CFG parse tree that hides all the details about the applications of the CFG rules in deriving the tree.

Clearly, the grammar contains the detailed linguistic information that describes how to express 15 concepts in a schema, and how to combine simple concepts into a complex one with natural language. The task of grammar development, therefore, is far difficult than describing the conceptual more relationships of a domain in a semantic schema. 20 However, the grammar authoring experience can be greatly improved with the information from schema, grammar library and sample annotations.

Once schema 202 has been written, a template CFG is produced from the schema with an automatic template grammar generation component 204, as discussed below. The template CFG inherits the semantic constraints from the semantic schema. This is done by assuming that the linguistic constraints

10

that guide the integration of smaller units into a larger unit in the CFG are invariants for languages used in human-computer interaction. In the template CFG, each semantic class has a corresponding CFG non-terminal, and there are also many other non-terminals and pre-terminals that define language structures. Many pre-terminals are initially placeholders and not associated with any terminals. Hence, the template CFG is greatly under-specified. The template grammar is indicated by block 206.

The under-specified template grammar 206 can be augmented in two ways. The first is through the use of a grammar library. Grammar manager 230 has access to a library of pre-existing grammars 232. Grammar manager 230 associates specific grammars in 15 the library of grammars 232 with the concepts in the schema (hence the nonterminals in the template CFG that correspond to these concepts) according to user's input through UI 224. Such library grammars for example, low level domain-20 contain, may independent semantic entities, such as date, time, address, numbers. duration, postal currency, etc., that be shared across percentages, can different applications.

25 The second way to make template grammar 206 more specific is through grammar learning with annotated samples. This can be done with the help of the robust parser and the semantic annotation of training sentences. Once the template grammar 30 generator 204 has developed template grammar 206 and

15

20

25

30

the grammar manager 230 has augmented the template grammar 206 with the grammar library, it is ready to Training sentences parse input training sentences. (or expressions) can be written by the author or obtained from a database of training expressions, and represented by block 210 in FIG. 2. In with embodiment of the present accordance one invention, the training sentences are annotated with their semantic structures by the author through appropriate inputs at UI 224. Since the semantic structures are abstractions of the CFG parse tree structures, annotating sentences against the schema is much easier than directly annotating the CFG parse tree structures. Annotation of the training sentences 212 the is indicated by block and annotated expressions are indicated by block 214.

Because the template grammar 206 is greatly under-specified, parses generated with the template CFG are quite ambiguous and performance is generally The annotated data compensate for this unacceptable. by only validating those parse tree structures that comply with the semantic annotation. After the parser finds the parses 216 that comply with the abstract semantic structure, it can learn the CFG rules from the CFG parse tree by aligning the terminal words in the sentence to the pre-terminals in the parse tree. The annotation of the abstract semantic structure provides some anchor points in the CFG parse tree structure by fixing the alignments between the words sentences and those non-terminals the

10

correspond to the semantic classes in the semantic annotation. The fixation greatly reduces the search space for possible alignments between input words and pre-terminals of the template grammar that are not annotated in the semantic structure, such that the learning component 218 can effectively learn the alignment between words and pre-terminals. Learning component 218 also invokes syntactic constraints 220 which may further reduce the search space for possible words/pre-terminals alignment. From the learned alignments, new CFG rules 228 are produced by learning component 218 and then used by the grammar manager 230 to augment the template grammar to make it more specific.

Even with these constraints, there may be 15 some additional ambiguity which must be resolved by In order to resolve such ambiguity, learner 218. learner 218 generates user queries or prompts 222 which are passed to UI 224 for display to the user. 20 The user can then provide answers 226 to those queries. The answers 226 are provided to learning component 218, such that learning component 218 can output a learned CFG 228 according to the specified words/pre-terminals alignments. It should be noted that the process can be repeated to employ additional 25 In that case, learned CFG rules in learned learning. CFG 228 are used to augment the template grammar 206. The augmentation results in a new grammar that is more specific. The new grammar is then used by parser 30 208 in parsing new sentences and expressions to find

30

their annotations; and the learner 218 can learn from the new annotations to continue improving the grammar.

In one embodiment, the annotation of 5 expression can be semi-automatically or obtained. FIG. 2B illustrates the detailed data flow of expression annotator block 212 in FIG. 2. Users of the development tool can use the grammar learned so (initially the template grammar augmented with the grammar library) to parse a sentence with robust 10 parser 208. The parser generates a CFG parse tree and maps it to semantic structure annotation 241. The annotation is then displayed to the user via UI 224. Since the grammar at this stage is under-specified, 15 parser 208 may make mistakes. The errors can be corrected by users in block 242 through UI 224 to produce corrected annotation 214, which is then used by the parser again to generate the CFG parse tree that complies with the corrected annotation. From the CFG parse tree, alignment candidates are extracted 20 and sent to learner 218 to identify the correct alignments.

FIGS. 3-11 are screen shots which better illustrate operation of the grammar authoring system in accordance with the present invention.

The user interface (UI) need not take the form illustrated in FIGS. 3-11. However, these figures are exemplary only. In this embodiment, the UI display includes buttons labeled Parse, Save Ann, Learn and Next. The Next button, when actuated by,

15

20

25

30

for example, a point and click device, causes a next training sentence or training expression training expressions need not be complete sentences) to be displayed in the field labeled "Sentence To Be Analyzed". The Parse button causes the sentence to be passed to parser 208; and parser 208 generates the semantic annotation. The Save Ann button causes an annotated training expression to be saved, and the Learn button causes learner 218 to learn from the parser generated annotation after it has corrected by grammar developers.

FIG. 3 is a screen shot showing a schema 300 along with a set of library grammars 232. The symbols indicated by numbers 304 represent object types while the symbols indicated by numeral 306 represent the actual name of a semantic class, which is followed by the name. Thus, CancelAppt is the name of a semantic class which includes a slot that must be filled by an object that has the type ExistingAppt, for example, an instantiation of the semantic class ApptByAttribute, which has ExistingAppt. The semantic class ApptByAttribute includes five slots, two of which are (StartDate and EndDate), two of which are times (StartTime and EndTime) and one of which identifies People.

Before any parsing is done to produce semantic annotations, the system is provided with the schema 300; and the template grammar generation component 204 develops template grammar 206. The

20

25

template grammar is then presented to grammar manager 230. The generation of the template grammar can be done in a number of different ways. A short example will be provided for illustrative purposes. Assume that the following is an example of concept definitions in a semantic schema:

In this example, the simplified semantic class ApptByAttributes is an entity with one slot represents the attendees of an existing that It covers expressions such as: "The appointment. meeting with Alex." It has the semantic ExistingAppt which means that it is one of the many different ways to refer to an existing appointment. Other ways (semantic classes) include ApptByAnaphora meeting"). The semantic "that class AddAttendee is a command. It has two slots which state to simply that can add People one ExistingAppt.

In order to develop the template CFG for this schema, it is assumed that the linguistic constraints that guide the integration of smaller units into a larger unit are invariants for languages used in human-computer interaction. The things that

vary are the domain-specific semantics and linguistic expressions for concepts. Thus, this allows one to create a template CFG that inherits the semantic constraints from the semantic schema. The above schema can thus be automatically translated to the following template CFG:

```
<T_ExistingAppt> \rightarrow <C_ApptByAttributes>
                                                          (1)
    <C ApptByAttributes> →
          {<ApptByAttributeMods>} <ApptByAttributeHead>
          {<ApptByAttributeProperties>}
                                                          (2)
10
    <ApptByAttributeProperties> →
          <ApptByAttributeProperty>
          {<ApptByAttributeProperties>}
                                                          (3)
    <ApptByAttributeProperty> →
          <ApptByAttributePeopleProperty>
                                                          (4)
15
    <ApptByAttributePeopleProperty> →
          {<PreApptByAttributePeopleProperty>} <T People>
          {<PostApptByAttributePeopleProperty>}
                                                          (5)
    <a href="#"><a href="#"><a href="#">ApptByAttributeHead</a> →
                                                          (6)
    <PreApptByAttributePeopleProperty> > .*
                                                          (7)
20
    <PostApptByAttributePeopleProperty> -> .*
                                                          (8)
    <T UpdateAppt> -> <C AddAttendee>
    <C AddAttendee> →
          <AddAttendeeCmd> {<AddAttendeeProperties>}
    <AddAttendeeCmd> - .*
25
    <AddAttendeeProperties> ->
          <AddAttendeeProperty> {<AddAttendeeProperties>}
     <AddAttendeeProperty> → <AddAttendeePeopleProperty> |
          <AddAttendeeExistingApptProperty>
     <AddAttendeeExistingApptProperty> →
30
          {<PreAddAttendeeExistingApptProperty>}
          <T ExistingAppt>
          {<PostAddAttendeeExistingApptProperty>
     <AddAttendeePeopleProperty> →
          {<PreAddAttendeePeopletProperty>} <T People>
35
          {<PostAddAttendeePeopletProperty>
     <PreAddAttendeeExistingApptProperty > .*
     <PostAddAttendeeExistingApptProperty > .*
```

30

<PreAddAttendeePeopleProperty .\*
<PostAddAttendeePeopleProperty .\*</pre>

In this example, rules are numbered in the right margin. An entity, such as ApptByAttribute, 5 includes a head, optional (braced) modifiers which appear in front of the head (e.g., "Alex's meeting"), and optional (braced) properties that follow the head (e.g., "meeting with Alex") illustrated by rule 2. and properties are defined 10 Both modifiers that they finally incorporate a recursively, so sequence of different slots as illustrated by rules 3 and 4.

Each slot is bracketed by an optional preamble and postamble, such as shown by rule 5. The heads, preambles and postambles are originally place holders illustrated by (.\*) in rules 7 and 8. Some place holders are specified with part-of-speech constraints (e.g., a head must be a NN (noun)), such as shown by rule 6.

like a command AddAttendee, the For template starts with a command part <AddAttendeeCmd> followed by <AddAttendeeProperties>. The rest is very similar to that of the template rules for an The template sets up the structural skeleton object. Hence, the task of grammar learning of a grammar. becomes to learn from examples the expressions for the preterminals like heads, commands, preambles, The placeholders, without learning, can match etc. anything. However, the performance is unacceptable

10

because the under-specificity of the grammar results in ambiguities.

The non-terminal <T\_People> is application dependent and therefore will be provided by the developer, for example, in the form of a name list.

Given that the parser 208 already has a template grammar (developed as described above) which inherits the syntactic constraints of the schema, the user may now enhance the grammar with pre-existing library grammars. This can be done by dragging library grammars from the library 302 across the screen to the appropriate object type or semantic class such as by using a point and click device.

However, based on the simplified list of library grammars 232, it may be difficult for the 15 author to determine what is actually contained in those library grammars. Therefore, by entering an appropriate user input, the user can cause one of the library grammars 232 to operate in the generative examples of sentence 20 mode thereby generating fragments or expressions which are supported by that In one illustrative embodiment, the particular CFG. user simply needs to place the point and click cursor over the grammar in the list of library grammars 232 25 and right click, and a display box 303, as shown in FIG. 4, appears which displays examples of sentence fragments or expressions that are supported by the the user. In the example CFG selected by 303 generated for illustrated, box is "PreciseTime" library grammar and shows a number of 30

10

15

30

different expressions that are generated using that grammar.

FIG. 5 shows the same screen shot as that shown in FIG. 3 except that the schema on the left has now been augmented with a plurality of library grammars from list 232. In order to find the various semantic classes in each of the grammars in the list of library grammars 232, the user can simply right click on any of the icons representing the library In one illustrative example, the user has grammars. clicked on the Date grammar, the People grammar and the Time grammar. The semantic classes contained in each grammar are then displayed. The user can then drag the specific semantic classes from list 232 to the schema on the left hand side of the screen and place them (by dropping them) in the appropriate object types or slot types.

In FIG. 5, it can be seen that the user has dragged the Weekday library grammars 305 from list 232 to the grammar displayed on the left side of FIG. 5. It can also be seen that the user has dragged the PreciseTime grammar 307 from the list 232 and placed it in the grammar illustrated on the left side of FIG. 5 as well. Finally, it can be seen that the user has dragged the PeopleList grammar 309 from the list of grammars 232 to the left side as well.

In dragging and dropping grammars from list 232 to the schema for the grammar being developed on the left side of screen 5, this causes a suitable user input to be provided from UI 224 (FIG. 2) to

grammar manager 230. Grammar manager 230 then associates the selected library grammar with the CFG being developed by the system.

FIG. 6 illustrates another embodiment of the present invention which includes annotation. In 5 order to annotate a training sentence or training expression, the user first clicks the Next button on the user interface such that a new training sentence or training expression is displayed in the field entitled "Sentence To Be Analyzed". Of course, the 10 user can simply type the sentence in as well. In any case, once the next training sentence or training expression is located in the field, the user can drag and drop that sentence to a specified semantic class displayed on the left hand side of the screen. 15

This causes UI 224 (FIG. 2) to send a command to parser 208 to parse the segment with the specified semantic class. Parser 208 then parses the sentence into the CFG parser tree, and the CFG parse tree is then abstracted into the semantic structure annotation, which is displayed in the example in FIG. 6, in which parser 208 has found the correct semantic structure by filling the ExistingAppt slot in the CancelAppt semantic class with the term "meeting".

Another annotation example may be helpful. The annotation provides anchor points in the alignments which must be complied with. Therefore, they segment the search space for alignment and enable the learner to focus on local points of interest. For example, suppose that the following

training sentence is used. "Schedule a meeting with Peter at 3 PM" Further suppose that the following annotation has been input:

<command name="NewMeeting" type="ApptUpdate">

5 <slot type="Time" name="StartTime"

text="3 PM"/>

<slot type="Person" name="Attendees"
text="Peter"/>

</command>

Then, the parser abides by these constraints and generates the parse tree (without making the commitment to map terminal words to the pre-terminals unless such a mapping is specified in the annotation) shown in FIG. 6A, with the following leaf nodes:

ScheduleMeetingCmd PreAttendeeProperty |
Attendee/Peter | PostAttendeeProperty PreTimeProperty |
| Time/3PM | PostTimeProperty

The constraints from the annotation divide the possible alignment space into three parts, so the 20 "Schedule a meeting with" can only match words "ScheduleMeetingCmd" and "PreAttendeeProperty", and "at" only match PostAttendeeProperty and can Thus, the annotation process PreTimeProperty. 25 provides anchor points which are known to be correct and significantly reduce the search space required of the learner.

The learner can also use syntactic clues 220 (FIG. 2) to align words to preterminals. For example, in one embodiment, prepositions and

10

15

20

25

determiners can only combine with the word behind them. Therefore, "at" can only be aligned with "PreTimeProperty". Therefore, the following two rules will be introduced:

PreTimeProperty 
ightarrow at

The syntactic constraints may be based on part of speech or any other desired syntactic constraints as well.

FIG. 7 illustrates another way in which the user can force the parser 208 to parse a nonannotated sentence in order to produce the annotation for grammar learning. First, the user simply clicks the Next button and a new training expression appears in the field labeled "Sentence To Be Analyzed". user then clicks the Parse button and an input is generated from UI 224 passing the training sentence to parser 208 and causing it to parse the sentence. CFG parse is then abstracted into semantic structure and the structure is displayed at 330. It can be seen that parser 208 has correctly parsed the Thus, the user can cause learning training sentence. component 218 to learn from this parse by depressing the Learn button. Assuming there are no ambiguities remaining in the parse, learning component 218 learns additional relationships from the parse generated by 208. learning the relationships, parser After learner 218 generates the CFG rules representing those relationships.

15

30

8 illustrates another embodiment in which the user can further annotate the training In FIG. 8, it is sentence by correcting the parse. assumed that the user has depressed the Next button to obtain a new training sentence "New meeting with Peter Mau at five." It is also assumed that the user has dragged that sentence to the NewAppt semantic 208 thus attempts to parse the Parser class. sentence using the specified type or semantic class and outputs an abstracted semantic structure 332. can be seen that the parser has made one mistake. The parser has mistakenly parsed the hour ("five") as EndTime rather than as a StartTime for the an This can be corrected by the referenced meeting. author.

FIG. 9 illustrates how the parse tree shown In FIG. 9, the user has in FIG. 8 is corrected. right clicked on the semantic class NewAppt, and this causes the UI to show all slots for the semantic class, including StartTime. The user then drags the 20 incorrectly parsed hour and drops it on the StartTime This correction thus annotates the slot. semantic annotation correctly such that the The user can thus depress modified as shown at 336. the Learn button such that the learning component 25 learns from this correct parse.

As the learning component attempts to learn from this annotation and generates the necessary CFG rules, it encounters an ambiguity with the unannotated portions of the training sentence. For

example, the learning component still does not have information which allows it to determine where the words "new meeting with" should be placed in the alignment with the grammar. The parser cannot determine how to correctly divide this text fragment between the command for a NewAppt and the modifier In other words, the system cannot tell for People. which of the words "New meeting with" go with the command for a new meeting and which words modify the Thus, the system allows the user to person Peter. 10 Learning component 218 generates a user choose. prompt 222 shown in FIG. 10. In the user prompt, the user is asked to identify a particular text string with the appropriate segmentation as indicated by a placed somewhere within the 15 vertical line This basically causes the user to further annotate the training sentence such that the learner can complete the parse with no ambiguities.

Of course, in order to accomplish this
correction process, appropriate inputs are generated
by UI 224 in FIG. 2 to the various components in the
system. After the learner has completed learning
from the annotation, the user can then again ask the
system to parse the very same sentence by simply
depressing the Parse button and the parse tree 340
shown in FIG. 11 will be generated, illustrating that
the system has learned from the annotated parse
previously generated.

Another feature should also be mentioned.

30 An authoring tool in accordance with the present

invention illustratively includes a selectable grammar field 342. By clicking on the selectable grammar field, UI 224 receives, and displays, the particular grammar which was used in the parse 340 then being displayed. This allows the user to ensure that the correct grammars are being utilized during the parsing operation.

It should be noted that UI 224 can interact with the remainder 199 of the system 198 in accordance with a variety of different ways. Table 1 includes one exemplary protocol which defines the interaction between UI 224 and the remainder of the system. Of course, other or additional protocols could be used as well.

15

20

### TABLE 1

[helpstring("method LoadSDL: Ask server to load the Semantic Schema [in Semantic Description Language] file.")]

HRESULT LoadSDL([in] BSTR SDLfname);

[helpstring("method LoadGramLibrary: Tell
 FastLearner to load the library grammar.")]
25 HRESULT LoadGramLibrary([in] BSTR Libfname);
 [helpstring("method Save: Save the learned grammar.")]
 HRESULT Save();

[helpstring("method SaveAs: Save the learned Grammar
to the Specified File.")]
HRESULT SaveAs([in] BSTR FileName);

5 [helpstring("method ViewGrammar: Ask the server to
 show the learned CFG.")]
 HRESULT ViewGrammar();

[helpstring("method GetLibrarySample: Ask FastLearner
to generate n [input parameter] samples for a library
grammar non-terminal. The output samples are
separated with '|'.")]
HRESULT GetLibrarySample([in] BSTR NT, [in] int n,
[out,retval] BSTR\* Samples);

[helpstring("method LoadGrammar: Load a pre-learned
grammar. The first [input] parameter specifies the
grammar file name.")]
HRESULT LoadGrammar([in] BSTR fname);

[helpstring("method ParseSegment: Ask FastLearner to parse the sentence segment with the non-terminal rootNT as the start symbol. Output is parenthesized parse tree.")]

25 HRESULT ParseSegment([in] BSTR rootNT, [in] BSTR
segment, [out,retval] BSTR\* parseTree);

[helpstring("method Associate: Associate a CFG nonterminal with a slot in a semantic schema.")]

30 HRESULT Associate([in] BSTR slot, [in] BSTR CFG\_NT);

[helpstring("method Unassocaite: Unassociate a CFG
nonterminal from a slot in a semantic schema.")]
HRESULT Unassocaite([in] BSTR slot, [in] BSTR
CFG NT);

[helpstring("method LearnAnnotation: Let FastLearner
start learning from the annotation. If FastLearner
finds ambiguities it cannot resolve, the out
10 parameter fAmbi will be 1. Otherwise it will be set
to 0.")]
HRESULT LearnAnnotation([in] BSTR sentence, [in] BSTR
annotation, [out,retval] int\* fAmbi);

- 15 [helpstring("method AskQuestion: When parse is ambiguous, let FastLearner ask questions. If fYNQ=1, it is a Y/N question. Otherwise it is a multiple choice questions. '/' is used to separate question and choices for multiple choice questions.")]
- 20 HRESULT AskQuestion([out] int\* fYNQ, [out,retval] BSTR\* question);

[helpstring("method LearnFromAnswer: Present FastLearner the answer to the question asked. The

- answer is 0|1 if the question is Y/N. Otherwise it will return the choice number (1..n). The output fAmbi is 1 if there are still ambiguities remaining.")]
  - HRESULT LearnFromAnswer([in] int answer, [out,
- 30 retval] int\* fAmbi);

[helpstring("method BatchAnnotationLearning: Send FastLearner a file that contains a list of annotated sentences (list of pairs of sentence string and parenthesized annotation string in separate lines) to start the batch learning.")] HRESULT BatchAnnotationLearning([in] BSTR annoFile);

[helpstring("method ParseWithType: Parse the segment with the specified type. REluts in parenthesized tree 10 representation.")] BSTR type, [in] BSTR ParseWithType([in] HRESULT segment, [out, retval] BSTR\* ParseTree);

15

20

It can be seen that the present invention provides one or more significant advantages. One of these is the abstraction of semantic structures from the CFG parse tree structures. While prior art has used syntactic annotation in grammar learning, the syntactic annotation is CFG dependent; therefore it is too expensive and error prone. The invention only asks users to annotate sentences semantic generate the against the schema to annotation, which is transparent to the linguistic 25 and can be easily performed grammar developer with good understanding of the application that he is developing.

In addition, the present invention uses the parser to guide annotation. One common problem with 30

15

20

manual semantic annotation is inconsistency. For example, some annotators annotated "at 5:00" as the start time, while others simply annotated "5:00" as the start time. By relieving the author of the task of expressly writing out each and every one of these items, and by instead allowing the author to simply drag a portion of the text to a semantic class and allowing the parser to parse the text using the semantic text, the parser will always write the term In other words, the parser may be the same way. configured to never write the term "at 5:00" as the start time and then later write the term "5:00" as Thus, the present invention invokes the start time. the parser to eliminate inconsistency in authoring.

Using the parser to guide annotation not only results in increased consistency, but also saves time. For example, the user can drag an entire sentence or sentence fragment to one semantic class. The parser will then parse that sentence or sentence fragment utilizing the semantic class. This allows the user to annotate only at a high level (e.g., at the semantic class level) and allows the parser to do lower level annotation.

In addition, the present invention constrains the parser with the annotation. In other words, once an annotation is made, the parser knows which non-terminals should be activated based on the annotation. This significantly reduces the search space for the parser in parsing the training

30 sentence.

15

20

The present invention also uses the library grammars in a generative mode to generate examples. This is very useful in determining whether the library grammar should be used in a particular application.

In addition, the present invention develops a template grammar from the semantic schema, which inherits the semantic constraints of the semantic schema. The template grammar provides structural generalization such that the learned grammar can be used to parse new sentences with structures different from those in the training data. Since the template grammar follows a simple paradigm, its structure is easy to read and maintain. Using the template grammar and library grammars, the parser can obtain a parse that can help users to annotate sentences for grammar learning.

The present invention also prompts the user to solve ambiguity in developing the CFG. The present invention further uses syntactic constraints in the learning process to further reduce the search space for learning.

Although the present invention has been described with reference to particular embodiments, workers skilled in the art will recognize that changes may be made in form and detail without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.